

## INVESTIGATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TOURISM AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: THE CASE OF THE BALTIC STATES

Deimena Montvydaitė<sup>1</sup>, Daiva Labanauskaitė<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PhD student, Klaipeda University, Klaipeda, Lithuania, E-mail address: deimenam@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr., Klaipeda University, Klaipeda, Lithuania, E-mail address: labanauskaite.daiva@gmail.com

Received 03 11 2023; Accepted 10 10 2023

#### Abstract

As economic growth is an aspiration of many developed and developing economies and tourism is claimed to be one of the driving forces for the economy, when COVID-19 hit the world and dropped down the indicators of tourism and economy, it led to looking for solutions to revive the economy and to drive economic growth. This study covers the problem raising from the pandemic crisis affected tourism sector and caused economic downturn with the aim to evaluate the relationship between tourism and economic growth to find out if the support of the Baltic States' tourism may contribute to economic growth and whether it may be a possible tool to revive the economy that has declined by the crisis as the COVID-19 pandemic. Besides a plenty of research investigating tourism and economic growth, this study is carried out to fill the research gap of the relationship between these two factors in the Baltic States. Performing correlation analysis, data of three Baltic countries – Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia – from the period 2009-2018 are integrated. Tourism is expressed by expenditure while economic growth is measured by GDP and employment rates. The results reveal statistically significant relationship between tourism and economic growth in Lithuania and in Estonia. In Latvia, no statistically significant results were found. As in Lithuania and Estonia tourism and economy has a strong positive relationship, tourism here could be considered as a possible tool to stimulate the economic growth. This analysis may be a useful base for the Baltic States' political and economic macro-level decision making as it may help to find the possible direction to revive economy while supporting tourism and to go towards the economic growth.

*Keywords:* Baltic States, economic indicators, economic growth, economy, tourism, tourism indicators. *JEL codes:* Z32, O47.

#### Introduction

Economic growth has been and still is an aspiration of many developed and developing countries. Ever since it has been a significant object of the scientific research of the economic field. Tourism is claimed to be a driving force for the economy, generating GDP and supporting jobs worldwide. However, after the period of the COVID-19 pandemic (2020 March – 2023 May, World Health Organization, 2020, 2023), which dropped down the indicators of tourism and economy, it is even more important to look for the solutions that can help to revive the economy. The unprecedented losses in tourism and economics states how losses in tourism will respond in economic and again presupposes indicators the interaction between the tourismand economy. WTTC (2020) claims when traveland tourism sector is facing unprecedented (T&T)

challenges and an existential threat from the impact of the COVID-19 virus globally, it is essential that governments recognise this and ensure that T&T is sustained through the crisis so that it can fulfil its vital roleas a significant catalyst of global economic recovery and growth. T&T's growth is crucial tocontinue to support the 330 million jobs and 10.3 % of global GDP. Therefore, the investigation of the relationship between tourism and economic growth is especially relevant after facing the crisis as COVID-19, as it potentially could be a base for the political and economic solutions to help to revive countries' economy and to stimulate economic growth.

As tourism is claimed to be an engine of the economy globally and there is a plenty of research investigating tourism and economic growth, the relationship between these two factors in the Baltic States is an outstanding issue. This research fills the literature gap that

Copyright © 2023 Author(s), published by Vytautas Magnus University. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original author and source are credited. The material cannot be used for commercial purposes.

lacks tourism and economic growth interaction investigations for the Baltic States by disclosing the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic countries -Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia. Describing the research area geographically and justifying the relevance of this region for this analysis it can be mentioned that Latvia and Estonia have a lot of in commonlooking at their historical path, their road towards independency, integration international organizations, into the Baltic economies. modernization of the demographic modernization, etc. The research by the Baltic Sea Tourism Centre (2018) states that tourism industry in the Baltic Sea Region in a narrow definition - is an economic powerhouse. Therefore, these presumptions let to think that the Baltic States have a potential in tourism-led economic revive and growth.

This study covers the problem raised from the COVID-19 pandemic caused economicdownturn, looking for a direction to revive the economy, aiming to investigate the relationship between tourism and economic growth to find out if the supporting of the Baltic States' tourism could be related to economic growth. It is performed to find the answer whether support of tourism may contribute to economic growth andwhether it may be a tool to revive the economy after facing a crisis.

The aim of the research is to evaluate the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States. The object of the research is the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States. The research methods include analysis and synthesis of scientific literature, analysis of statistical data, correlation analysis (SPSS statistical package). The research problem is investigated by getting from the broader point of view to the narrow area of research. Analysis and synthesis of scientific literature gives the theoretical background of the research as it reveals the interaction between tourism and economic growth worldwide and in Europe, exposing the relevance of this investigation. Analysis of statistical data and investigation of the main tourism indicators related to economy confirms the importance of the role of T&T sector in European and the Baltic States' economy, giving the presumption for further,

more detail and more valid step of the investigation. Correlation analysis, including data of the three Baltic States countries -Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia – of the period of 2009-2018 is applied to evaluate the interdependence between selected variables tourism expenditure, GDP and employment rates in order to answer the main research question whether and how tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States are related. The research contributes to the economic field by disclosing the new angle of the research evaluating tourisminteraction with economic growth in the Baltic States. The originality of this study comes from the origin of the scientific problem and is confirmed by the research field selection – the analysis of the Baltic States. Responding to scientific novelty, the research is performed to find the answer whether support of tourism may contribute to economic growth and, in the context of the crisis as COVID-19 pandemic, whether it may be a direction in reviving the economy. The findings of this research may be auseful base for the Baltic States' political and economic macrolevel decision making as it mayhelp to find the priority areas and the solutions to revive economy while supporting tourism and togo towards the economic growth.

# Theoretical framework of the relationship between tourism and economic growth

Starting from the broader point of view, T&T is claimed to be one of the world's largest economic sectors, generating 10.3% of global Gross domestic product (GDP) and supporting one in 10 jobs worldwide, 330 million jobs in total (World Travel and Tourism Council (WTTC), 2020). According to the data of the WTTC (2020), 2019 was another year of strong growth for the global T&T sector, reinforcing itsrole as a driver of economic growth and job creation.

In 2019, T&T's direct, indirect and induced impact accounted for US\$ 8.9 trillion contribution to the world's GDP, US\$ 1.7 trillion visitor exports (6.8% of total exports, 28.3% of global services exports) and US\$ 948 billion capital investment (4.3% of total investment).



Until the COVID-19 hit the world, tourism sector was expected to grow further. It was predicted that the total volume of tourism at nominal prices will double in 2029 (WTTC, 2019). According to the research by Calderwood & Soshkin (2019), the total number of international tourists should have been reached 1.8 billion by 2030. WTTC (2020) estimated that 2030 contribution of T&T sector to global GDP will reach 11.3%. According to calculations, in 2030 it should have been supported 425 million jobs, what means one of nine jobs worldwide and one of four new jobs created in the world. These statistics and predictions just prove that tourism is one of the fastest growing industries and a driving force forso many developed as well as developing economies (Manzoor et al., 2019). In 2020, when COVID-19 hit the world, T&T sector got into a precarious position with decreasing number of trips and tourists worldwide. WTTC (2020)projected unprecedented losses for 2020 that up to 100.8 million T&T sector jobs were at risk (31% decrease) and up to US\$ 2.7 trillion T&T GDP could be lost (30% decrease). It was predicted that global economic impact of COVID-19 could lead to 2.9% global unemployment rate increase, directly from T&T losses. WTTC (2020) also estimated economic impact from COVID-19 in Europe. The calculations of November 2020 revealed that 18.8 million T&T jobs had been already lost in 2020, what means 51% loss, as well as the same percentage amount (51%) loss of T&T GDP, what means 1.025\$ loss and there was also notable decrease in global, international and domestic arrivals accordingly equal to 62% and 37%. These predictions, that clearly say about how losses in tourism responds in economic indicators, could be another one assumption for the relationship between tourism and economy investigation, because if the positive relationshipbetween tourism and economy exists, countries, trying to revive the economy, could take an action recovering and supporting tourism.

of tourism on economy and its' contribution to economic growth. Gavurova et al. (2020) states that tourism could be considered as one of the key engines of a region's economic growth. Malakauskaite & Navickas (2010) add that tourism sector is one of the key sectors in modernservice-based economies. According to Nicolae (2017), tourism is a particularly complex phenomenon with serious economic, social, cultural and political consequences. Bunghez (2016) states that tourism represents, in the context of contemporary civilization, through its content and its role, a distinct area of activity, and a segment of essential importance in the economic and social life of most countries in the world. The research of Gavurova et al. (2020) revealed that tourism has a multiplier effect on abusiness community and economy, as it supports a creation of new working places, and also capital accumulation and financial resources of sustainable development of economically weaker regions. According to research by Alam & Paramati (2016), tourism contributes to economic growth through various channels including foreign currency earnings, attracting international investment, increasing tax revenues and creating additional employment opportunities. Manzoor et al. (2019) agree that tourism is the largest source of employment opportunities and a huge wealth originator and a greater contributor to the diversified economy. Author adds that at a global level, tourism represents a crucial economic component. The extent and economic contribution of this activity differs from country to country (Bunghez, 2016). It is generally assumed that the expansion of tourism should have a positive effect on economic growth (Shakouri, 2017). Therefore, awide range of literature that emphasizes the influence of tourism development on direct and indirect economic benefits is available (Alam & Paramati, 2016). There are a lot of examples where tourism has a very positive impact on the economy of any country (Ringer, 2013). There are nations, whose economy is substantially supported by the local tourism industry (Bunghez, 2016). Tourism plays a significant

Many researchers argue the importance

economic role in a process of sustainable regional development, where it helps to developlow-growth regions (Gavurova et al.; 2020). As Navickas & Malakauskaite (2009) claim, some countries are not rich in natural resources orhighly developed industry sectors, therefore theytend to concentrate their efforts on the development of tourism industry and services. According to Manzoor et al. (2019), weaker regions or regions in decay could be developed through the tourism sector easily. In the recent decades. most international organizations have argued that tourism can be conceived as a tool for economic development in many regions of the world (Cardenas-Garcia et al., 2013). Manzoor et al. (2019) agrees that tourism sector plays an important role in boosting a nation's economy. According to Lee et al. (2020), from the existing research, several studies have been conducted to analyse the role of tourism in economic growth. Authors claim that the growth in international tourism has taken place around various activities over the years: leisure, business, medical, cultural, adventure, wellness, sports, religious, wildlife and ecotourism. In theresearch of Manzoor et al. (2019), it is stated thatan increase in tourism flow can bring positive economic outcomes to the nations, especially in GDP and employment opportunities. According to Lee et al. (2020), leading tourism become an engine of development for many smalleconomies and a viable sector for developed economies. Shahzad et al. (2017) agrees that tourism is the main source and a foundation fora country's economic development and growth in developing countries.

The tourism–growth hypothesis has been long debated in the literature (Lee et al., 2020). Many contributions from the economic literaturerecognize the potential of tourism as the tool foreconomic development (Cardenas-Garcia et al., 2013). However, according to Lee et al. (2020), the literature has, without a doubt, captured the different facets of the growing importance of thetourism industry. Therefore, although there are many studies of the relationship between tourism and economic growth from a range of perspective (Lee et al., 2020), the lack of a clearconsensus on the exact nature of relationship between tourism and economic growth indicates that this area of research is inconclusive and is still open to discussion (Nepal et al., 2019). And the deficiency of clarity between the relationship of tourism and economy is just a part of the issue. Caglayan et al. (2012) state that although causal relationship between tourism and economic growth is generally supported, the strength and direction of relationship changes over country groups and conditionality on other possible determinants exists. Gavurova et al. (2020) adds that tourism's position, its development and relations to other sectors differ in the individual countries. Authors state that in some countries, tourism is a long-term profiling factor of economic activities, while in others, it may play a supplementary role from a perspective of a country's economy development. It is explained to be given by a character of a country and its economic and political situation, attractiveness, population structure, etc. Summing up the insights by scientific authors, they have already been discussing the impact of tourism oneconomy for some decades (Debadyuti & Sushil, 2009; Caglayan et al., 2012; Godfrey & Clarke, 2012; Marzuki, 2012; Scheyvens & Russel, 2012; Alam & Paramati, 2016; Bunghez, 2016; Afthanorghan et al., 2017; Sheng et al., 2017; Carvache-Franco et al., 2018; Watkins et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018; Nepal et al., 2019 et al.). Some authors made scientific research, analysing interaction between tourism and economic growth (Caglayan et al., 2012; Cardenas-Garcia et al., 2013; Zurub et al., 2015; Bunghez, 2016; Afthanorghan et al., 2017; Bilenet al., 2017; Guo et al., 2017; Ohlan, 2017; Selimi et al., 2017; Shakouri et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018; Manzoor et al., 2019; Nepal et al., 2019; Odunga, 2019; Eyuboglu & Eyuboglu,2020; Khan et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020 et al.). However, there are only a few studies, investigating tourism in the context of the BalticStates (Druvaskalne & Slara, 2006; Labanauskaitė, 2014; Hajdu & Liptak, 2016; Baltic Sea Tourism Centre, 2018; et al.) and theypoorly analyse the interaction of tourism and country's economy.

Summarizing, literature review discloses the problem of the research, that is raising from the COVID-19 pandemic affected



tourism sectorand caused economic downturn and the lack of the literature on the investigation of the Baltic States situation, addressing the relationship between tourism and economic growth. This study is filling this gap of the scientific literatureby evaluating the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States. The research contributes to the tourism economic field by disclosing the new angle of the research evaluating tourism interaction with economic growth in the Baltic States. The originality of this study is revealed from the origin of the scientific problem and is confirmed by the research field selection - the analysis of the Baltic States. Addressing the scientific novelty, the research isperformed to find the answer whether support of tourism may contribute to economic growth and, in the context of the crisis as COVID-19 pandemic, whether it may be a direction in reviving the economy that has recently declined.

### Data and methodology of the investigation of the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States

Theoretical framework and the analysis of the tourism indicators related to economy revealed that tourism takes a significant part in the economy, both, worldwide and in Europe. To confirm whether tourism could be a driving force of economy in the Baltic countries, the evaluation of the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States is made by performing the correlation analysis. The study focuses on answering the main research question whether and how tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States are related to assess whether the suopport of the Baltic States' tourism may contribute to countries' economy and help to revive the economy.

Three Baltic States countries – Lithuania,Latvia and Estonia – are analysed. The data analysis period is 2009-2018 years. Tourism is expressed as expenditure and economic growth is measured by GDP and employment rates. According to Zurub et al. (2015), assessing the impact of tourism on economic growth, much attention is paid to the share of tourism expenditure. This indicator is used in the studies investigating tourism and growth by other researchers economic (Athanasopoulou, 2013; Seghir et al., 2015; Usmani et. al, 2021). Visitor expenditure on accommodation, food and drink, local transport, entertainment and shopping is an important contributor to the economy of many destinations, creating employment and opportunities for development (Athanasopoulou, 2013). It refers the number of payments made byvisitors. The data on GDP is generally used for measuring economic growth, as it represents the approximation of the living standard (Obradovic et al., 2017). The World Bank (2021) describes GDP as a widely used indicator that refers to the total gross value added by all resident producersin the economy, which is mentioned as the first indicator of economic activity and as the indicator for measuring economic growth. Empirical studies highlight that economic growth tends to be positively associated with jobcreation (Basnett & Set, 2013). Study by Aliyu (2019) justifies that employment contributes to economic growth and development: workers produce valuable goods and services, and in turnreceive a wage, which they can spend on buying the goods produced. When employment is at full, the economy is 100% efficient. Study discloses that employment is important for economic development because it improves the quality of living standard of an employed person and this help to improve the business of employer or improve the productivity of any company resulting in overall increase in economic growthand development of the country.

Statistical data form the Eurostat are operated and processed using SPSS statistical package. Expenditure is measured by thousand euro, as one night or over duration stay, including all countries on the world. GDP is an indicator for a nation's economic situation, which reflects the total value of all goods and services produced less the value of goods and services used for intermediate consumption in their production (Eurostat, 2020). In this investigation GDP at market prices values by million euro are used. Another economic indicator, applied in this analysis, is employment, based on the domestic concept by thousand persons. Employment covers all persons engaged in some productive activity that falls within the production boundary of the national accounts. Employed persons are either employees (persons who work by agreement, work for a resident institutional unit, and receive а remuneration recorded as compensation of employees) or self-employed (persons who are the sole owners, or joint owners, of the unincorporated enterprises in they work, excluding which those unincorporated enterprises that are classified as quasi-corporations). Thedomestic concept of employment includes both the residents and the non-residents who work for resident producer units (Eurostat, 2020).

Correlation analysis method is applied to evaluate the interdependence between selected variables – expenditure and GDP, and employment rates. One of the main indicators of correlation analysis is the p value. As Laskiene et al. (2020) states, p is the value of marginal significance. In this investigation, the selected cut off for significance is 0.05. If p < 0.05, the relationship between two variables is statistically significant, what means that the changes in one variable affects the other one. According to Laskiene et al. (2020), a positive value of the correlation coefficient indicates a direct relationship: the values of the variables vary in the same direction – an increase in one of them causes an increase in the other, and vice versa, while a negative value of the correlation coefficient indicates an inverse relationship, when the variables vary in different directions - an increase in one of them causes a decrease in the other, and vice versa. The correlation coefficient may vary between -1 and 1. Thehigher the coefficient is, the stronger the correlation, what means the stronger the relationship between variables (Sugiyono, 2013).

Table 1 shows descriptive statistics of theindicators of the research analysis.

	Unit of measure	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Expenditure_LT	Thousand euro	10	633842,00	1205978,46	916507,7770	175079,04545
Expenditure_LV	Thousand euro	10	537344,47	688638,00	623321,8710	52319,60031
Expenditure_EST	Thousand euro	10	343294,00	2108996,34	854179,1120	508419,47875
GDP_LT	Million euro	10	26934,80	45264,40	35473,4300	5874,04994
GDP_LV	Million euro	10	17817,70	29056,10	23079,4100	3508,72878
GPD_EST	Million euro	10	14211,80	26035,90	19645,0900	3803,87243
Employment_LT	Thousand persons	10	1248,20	1380,60	1317,3250	47,30485
Employment_LV	Thousand persons	10	843,51	903,72	879,8860	18,97499
Employment EST	Thousand persons	10	548,10	657,70	612,8300	33,35519

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the indicators of analysis in the period 2009-2018 in the BalticStates, thousand euro

\*Source: Compiled by the author using SPSS statistical package, based on the data of Eurostat, 2020.

Table 1 demonstrates descriptive statistics of indicators of the analysis – expenditure, GDP and employment – in the period 2009-2018 in the Baltic States. The data provide a comparison of the tourism and economic situation between countries.

Evaluating expenditure, Estonia has the widest range with around 343 million euro minimum and around 2,1 billion euro maximum. Expenditure in Latvia in analysis period fluctuated the least (around 537 million min. and around 689 million max.). Lithuania

here got themiddle position (around 634 million min. and 1,2 billion max.). The mean of expenditure in analysis period was the biggest in Lithuania. However, standard deviation in analysis period has the highest value in Estonia. It could be claimed that in analysis period Lithuania and Estonia had the biggest amount of tourism expenditure. Evaluating GDP, the biggest mean (around 36 billion euro) is fixed in Lithuania. Measuring employment, Lithuania again has the highest mean (around 1,3 million persons) value.



Summing up descriptive data, it could be assumed that assessing the tourism, Estonia in the analysis period had the highest values and evaluating economy, Lithuania performed the best.

The results of the investigation of the relationship between tourism and economicgrowth in the Baltic States To better understand the situation and thetrend of each country, more detailed, periodic analysis of each selected indicator in the Baltic States for the period 2009-2018 is carried out. This evaluation, as the trend of each analysed indicator is revealed, will be useful to better interpretation of correlation analysis results.

Starting with tourism expenditure, figure1 presents the dynamic of this indicator in the Baltic States in 2009-2018.



Figure 1. The dynamic of tourism expenditure in the Baltic States in 2009-2018, thousand euro

\*Source: Compiled by the author, based on the data of Eurostat, 2020.

Figure 1, illustrating tourism expenditure in the Baltic States in 2009-2018 by thousand euro, reveals that in all the period Lithuania has a steady increasing trend. Latvia has more fluctuated expenditure values with some periods of decrease. Estonia is characterized by the fastest increasing trend with a high value leap in2018. It may be that this country has the biggestpotential for reviving the economy by encouraging tourism, however, to make that kindof conclusion, more detailed analysis of the relationship between tourism and economy is needed.

Carrying on the analysis, figure 2 shows the dynamic of GDP at market prices in the Baltic States in 2009-2018.



**Figure 2. The dynamic of GDP at market prices in the Baltic States in 2009-2018, million euro** *\*Source: Compiled by the author, based on the data of Eurostat, 2020.* 

Figure 2, illustrating GDP at market prices in the Baltic States in 2009-2018 by million euro, reveals that there is an evident trendof increase. All three Baltic countries in the analysis period had an increasing GDP (despite a small fluctuate in Latvia in 2010). The data reveals that Lithuania has the highest GDP value. Latvia takes the second position and Estonia is the third in the context of the Baltic States. According to this data in the period of analysis Lithuania had the strongest

economy. All the Baltic countries had an increasing economy in the analysed period. It again confirms that COVID-19 pandemic, that struck in 2020, changed the direction of growth, initiated negative effects, and encouraged to look for the ways how to recover the economy.

Another one indicator that is included in the analysis – employment in the domestic concept in the Baltic States in 2009-2018 – is presented in figure 3.





\*Source: Compiled by the author, based on the data of Eurostat, 2020.

Figure 3 shows the dynamic of employment in the domestic concept in the Baltic States in 2009-2018 by thousand persons. Despite the fluctuation in the 2009-2010, that may be caused by financial crisis, employment in all Baltic States had an increasing trend. Lithuania took the first position with the highest level of employment, Latvia is the analysis period was the second one and in Estonia there was the smallest amount of employed persons. This data at this point let to consider Lithuania as the strongest economy in the Baltic States. All the Baltic States had quite stable trend of employment rate in the period of analysis. The situation changed in the 2020, when the COVID- 19 pandemic hit the world. It again leads back to the pandemic crisis presupposed problem – the negative affection on tourism sector and the economic downturn – that this research is trying to address.

Further data illustrates the results of correlation analysis between tourism and economy in the Baltic States. It covers the research problem and leads to the aim of confirming or denying the relationship between tourism and economy.

The results of correlation between tourism and economy in Lithuania are presented in table 2.

Table 2. Correlation	n between	tourism	and	economy	z in '	Lithuania
	n between	tour ism	anu	cconomy		Linuama

		Expenditure_LT	GDP_LT	Employment_LT
	Pearson Correlation	1	,989**	,794**
Expenditure_LT	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,006
	Ν	10	10	10
	Pearson Correlation	,989**	1	,817**
GDP_LT	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,004
	Ν	10	10	10
	Pearson Correlation	,794**	,817**	1
Employment_LT	Sig. (2-tailed)	,006	,004	
	Ν	10	10	10

\*\*.Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*Source: Compiled by the author using SPSS statistical package, based on the data of Eurostat, 2020.



Table 2 shows the results of correlation analysis between tourism expenditure and GDP and employment in Lithuania, as an expression of the relationship between country's tourism and economy. Analysis revealed statistically significant results. Expenditure and GDP has a very strong positive relationship (p=0,000), as well as expenditure and employment (p=0,006). Summing up, in Lithuania tourism and economy has a very strong positive relationship. That means, when tourism has an increasing trend, country's economy is also growing.

The results of correlation between tourism and economy in Latvia are presented in table 3.

		Expenditure_LV	GDP_LV	Employment_LV
	Pearson Correlation	1	-,418	-,304
Expenditure_LV	Sig. (2-tailed)		,230	,393
	Ν	10	10	10
	Pearson Correlation	-,418	1	,557
GDP_LV	Sig. (2-tailed)	,230		,094
	Ν	10	10	10
	Pearson Correlation	-,304	,557	1
Employment_LV	Sig. (2-tailed)	,393	,094	
	Ν	10	10	10

Table 3. Correlation between tourism and economy in Latvia

\*Source: Compiled by the author using SPSS statistical package, based on the data of Eurostat 2020.

Table 3 presents the results of correlation analysis between tourism expenditure and GDP and employment in Latvia. No statistically significant results were revealed (p=0,230; p=0,393). Expenditure does not corelate nor withcountry's GDP, neither with employment. No conclusion tourism and economy relationshipcan be made. As this research includes the analysis of each indicator in each country, we may assume that these nonsignificant results were affected by the fluctuation in Latvia's tourism expenditure values in the analysis period.

The results of correlation between tourism and economy in Estonia are presented in table 4.

Table 4. Correlation between to	ourism and	1 ecol	nomy	in Esi	ionia
	Expenditure	EST	GPD	EST	Employn

		Expenditure_EST	GPD_EST	Employment_ES
				Т
	Pearson Correlation	1	,901**	,829**
Expenditure_EST	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,003
	Ν	10	10	10
	Pearson Correlation	,901**	1	,959**
GPD_EST	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000
	Ν	10	10	10
	Pearson Correlation	,829**	,959**	1
Employment_EST	Sig. (2-tailed)	,003	,000	
	Ν	10	10	10

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

\*Source: Compiled by the author using SPSS statistical package, based on the data of Eurostat, 2020.

Table 4 presents the results of correlation analysis between tourismexpenditure and GDP and employment in Estonia. Analysis revealed statisticallysignificant results. Expenditure and GDP has a very strong positive relationship (p=0,000), as well as expenditure and employment has (p=0,003). Summing up, in Estonia tourism and economy has a very strong

positive relationship. That means, when tourism has an increasing trend, country's economy isgrowing as well.

These calculations, covering the research problem, give the answer to the mainresearch question whether and how tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States are related. It is disclosed that Lithuania and Estonia are the countries that have a significant strong positive relationship between tourism and economy. In Latvia no statistically significant results were found. These findings let presuppose that, as tourism and economy have a strong positive relationship in Estonia and Lithuania, the authorities of these countries could use tourism reviving the pandemicdamaged economy and reaching theeconomic growth.

## Discussion

The amount of the scientific research lets to confirm that the impact of tourism on the economy is relevant topic. Most scientists argue for a positive role of tourism ineconomic development and most of the research investigating the interaction between tourism and the economy remain with tourismas a tool for economic growth. The relationshipbetween tourism and economic growth is undeniable in most of the cases. Even there are some researches of the tourism in the Baltic States. the economic basis is left aside in mostof the studies. This research improves and expands related research by analysing the newangle of the relationship between tourism and economy in the Baltic States.

Evaluating the relationship between tourism and economic growth, the indicators of the Baltic States countries in the period of 2009-2018 have been analysed. Tourism is expressed as expenditure and economic growth is measured by GDP and employment rates. The analysis shows that Lithuania and Estoniahave the biggest amount of tourism expenditure.

The biggest mean of GDP (around 36 billion euro), as well as standard deviation (around 5,9 billion euro) is set in Lithuania. Measuring employment, Lithuania again has the highest mean (around 1,3 million persons). Assessing the tourism, Estonia has the highest values and evaluating economy, Lithuania performed the best in the context of the Baltic States. Detailed analysis of tourism expenditure in the Baltic States in 2009-2018 reveals that in all the period Lithuania has a steady increasing trend, Latvia has more fluctuated expenditure values with some periods of decrease and Estonia has the fastestincreasing trend. Evaluating GDP, there is an evident trend of increase in all three Baltic countries. Lithuania has the highest GDP value, Latvia takes the second position and Estonia the third. Evaluating employment in the domestic concept, despite the fluctuations, all Baltic States has an increasing trend. Lithuania takes the first position, Latvia is the second one and Estonia has the smallest amount of employed persons. According to these findings, Lithuania could be considered as the strongest economy in the Baltic States. However, the best results in tourism indicators have been found in Estonia. Therefore, correlation analysis has been performed to know if the relationship between tourism and economic growth in the Baltic States exists, what direction it has and how strong it is.

## Conclusions

The research fills the literature gap that lacks tourism and economic growth interaction investigations for the Baltic States by disclosing the relationship between tourismand economic growth in Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia. Addressing the scientific novelty, current study covers the problem raising from the COVID-19 pandemic affected tourism sector and caused economic downturn by evaluating the relationship between tourismand economic growth in the Baltic States looking for a direction to revive the economy and to stimulate economic growth.

The results of correlation analysis eveal statistically significant relationship between tourism and economic growth in Lithuania and in Estonia. A very strong positive relationship between expenditure and GDP as well as between expenditure and employment, have been found in Lithuania. The analysis of Estonia reveals the same trend – expenditure and GDP, as well as expenditure and employment, correlated in strongly positive relationship. In Latvia, no statistically significant results have been found. Summing



up, in Lithuania and Estonia tourism and economy has a strong positive relationship, what means, when tourism has an increasing trend, country's economy is growing as well. If tourism has an economic impact and if it is positively related with economy, the support of tourism should lead to the increase of the economy. Therefore, tourism in Lithuania and Estonia could be confirmed as a possible tool for economic growth. This analysis could be useful in the Baltic States political and economic decision making as it could lead to the solutions to thrive the countries' economy, what after the COVID-19 pandemic crisis period is an essential issue. It could be a base looking for a tool to revive the country's economy after the crisis as pandemic as well as looking for solutions to stimulate economic growth. As in two Baltic countries tourism is found to be related with the economic growth, (e.g., support for T&T political sector. favourable law decisions et al.) and economic decisions (financial support for T&T sector in the times of the crisis and after, subsidies for employers and employees, tax deferral for business and self-employed et al.) supporting tourism may be taken here to help to restore the tourism and to stimulate the economic growth.

Stating the limitations, the data analysis consists past period data, therefore itdoes not reveal the T&T sector and economic growth situation afterthe COVID-19 pandemic. This kind of analysis shows if the relationship between tourism and economicgrowth in the Baltic States exists, it discloses the direction and the strength of the relationship, though it does not let to evaluate causality between variables. Therefore, the study may be expanded by including additional data and causality analysis method. That kind of research would reveal whether tourism is a driving force for the economy or developing economy contributes to tourism growth. It could better help for country's authorities and decision-makers to make a solution how to better manage both, tourism, and economic growth. Current study is the first step towards the tangible practical implications that would need more deeper analysis and research suggesting the possible moves or an actionplan for the reviving the economy, leading to economic growth or supporting the tourism.

It is recommended to expand further research by: adding latest statistical data to make research more relevant to current state (including the data of post-pandemic period, as it may address this research problem even more clear); including the method of causal analysis to disclose the causality between investigated tourism and economic variables (e.g. Granger causality test) to better anticipate the direction of possible solutions and actions to reviving the economy and to stimulate economic growth by supporting tourism; complementing the study suggesting possible tangible solutions for reviving the economy in the Baltic States by supporting tourism to better disclose practical applicability of the research; analysing more deepen the situation in each Baltic country and highlighting the action plan how to reach for economic revive and how to stimulate economic growth by supporting tourism to reveal tangible practical applicability of the research giving the recommendations to macrolevel political and economy decision-makers.

#### References

Afthanorhan, A., Awang, Z., & Fazella, S. (2017). Perception of tourism impact and support tourism development in Terengganu, Malaysia. *Social Sciences*, *6*(3), 106, 1-11. doi:10.3390/socsci6030106

Alam, M. S., & Paramati, S. R. (2016). The impact of tourism on income inequality in developing economies: Does Kuznets curve hypothesis exist?. *Annals of Tourism Research*, *61*, 111-126. doi:10.1016/j.annals.2016.09.008

Baltic Connecting (2019). Welcome to the Baltic States. Available at: https://www.balticconnecting.com/en/2-uncategorised/689-welcome-to-the-baltic-states

Baltic Sea Tourism Center. (2018). State of the Tourism Industry in the Baltic Sea Region – 2018 Edition. Available at: https://bstc.eu/fileadmin/bstc.eu/Downloads/Final\_Report\_Tourism\_Industry\_in\_BSR\_2018.pdf

Basnett, Y., & Sen, R. (2013). What do empirical studies say about economic growth and job creation in developing countries. Overseas Development Institute, 1.

Bilen, M., Yilanci, V., & Eryüzlü, H. (2017). Tourism development and economic growth: a panel Granger causality analysis in the frequency domain. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 20(1), 27-32. doi:10.1080/13683500.2015.1073231

Bunghez, C. L. (2016). The importance of tourism to a destination's economy. Journal of Eastern Europe Research in Business & Economics, 1(1), 1-9. doi:10.5171/2016.143495

Caglayan A. E., Sak, N., & Karymshakov, K. (2012). Relationship between tourism and economic growth: A panel Granger causality approach. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 2(5), 518-529.

Calderwood, L. U., & Soshkin, M. (2019). The Travel & Tourism Competitiveness Report 2019. Travel and Tourism at a Tipping Point. Available at: http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\_TTCR\_2019.pdf

Cardenas-Garcia, P., & Rivero, M., Pulido-Fernández, J. (2013). Does Tourism Growth Influence Economic Development? *Journal of Travel Research*, *54*, 206-221. doi:10.1177/0047287513514297

Carvache-Franco, M., Carvache-Franco, W., Sánchez-Riera, D., & Carvache-Franco, O. (2018). Community's Perception of a Protected Area on the Impact of Tourism: The Santay Island Case of Ecuador. *Mediterranean Journal ofSocial Sciences*, *9*, 31-42. doi:10.2478/mjss-2018-0112

Debadyuti, D., & Sushil, S. (2009). An assessment of the impact of tourism development at Varanasi: perspectives of local tourism businesses. *International Journal of Tourism Policy*, 2, 167-186. doi:10.1504/IJTP.2009.024551

Druvaskalne, I., & Slara, A. (2006). Tourism Challenges in the Baltic States Since EU Enlargement. European Regional Science Association, ERSA conference papers.

Eurostat. (2020). Database. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database

Eyuboglu, S., & Eyuboglu, K. (2020). Tourism development and economic growth: an asymmetric panel causality test. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 23(6), 659-665. doi:10.1080/13683500.2019.1588863

Gavurova, B., Suhanyi, L., & Rigelsky, M. (2020). Tourist spending and productivity of economy in OECD countries-research on perspectives of sustainable tourism. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 8(1), 983-1000. doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.8.1(66)

Godfrey, K., & Clarke, J. (2000). The Tourism Development Handbook. London: Thompson Learning.

Guo, Z., Robinson, D., & Hite, D. (2017). Economic impact of Mississippi and Alabama Gulf Coast tourism on the regional economy. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *145*, 52-61. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2017.05.006

Hajdu, N., & Liptak, K. (2016). Comparison of the Tourism potential of Baltic countries and Hungary. *Regional Formation and Development Studies, 19,* 68-80. doi:10.15181/rfds.v19i2.1284

Khan, N., Hassan, A. U., Fahad, S., & Naushad, M. (2020). Factors Affecting Tourism Industry and Its Impacts on Global Economy of the World. Research paper. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3559353

Labanauskaitė, D. (2014). Lithuanian tourism competitiveness in the context of Baltic countries. *Regional Formation and Development Studies*, 10(2), 111-122. doi:10.15181/rfds.v10i2.147

Lee, H. S., Sin Yee, L. E. E., & HAR, W. M. (2020). Roles of institutional quality on the relationship between tourism and economic development in Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 8(1), 119-124.

Malakauskaite, A., & Navickas, V. (2010). Relation between the level of clusterization and tourism sector competitiveness. Engineering economics, 66(1). 60-67.

Manzoor, F., Wei, L., & Asif, M. (2019). The Contribution of Sustainable Tourism to Economic Growth and Employment in Pakistan. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *16*(19), 3785, 1-14. doi:10.3390/ijerph16193785

Marzuki, A. (2012). Local residents' perceptions towards economic impacts of tourism development. *Tourism*, 60, 199-212.

Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania (2021). Cooperation in the Baltic Region. Available at: https://lrkm.lrv.lt/en/international-cooperation/international-organizations-and-regional-cooperation/cooperation-in-the-baltic-region

Navickas, V., & Malakauskaite, A. (2009). The possibilities for the identification and evaluation of tourism sector competitiveness factors. Engineering economics, 61(1), 37-44.

Nepal, R., al Irsyad, M. I., & Nepal, S. K. (2019). Tourist arrivals, energy consumption and pollutant emissions in a developing economy-implications for sustainable tourism. *Tourism Management*, 72, 145-154. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2018.08.025

Nicolae, P. (2017). Tourism Multiplicator Effect for the National Economy of the Country.". Ovidius" University Annals, Economic Sciences Series, 17(2), 306-312.

Obradovic, S., Šapic, S., Furtula, S., & Lojanica, N. (2017). Linkages between inflation and economic growth in Serbia: An ARDL bounds testing approach. Engineering Economics, 28(4), 401-410. doi:10.5755/j01.ee.28.4.14003

Odunga, P. (2019). Impact of Tourism on the Economy of Rwanda: Tourism Collective Consumption Multilier Effects. *African Journal of Education, Science and Technology*, *5*(2), 122-128.

Ohlan, R. (2017). The relationship between tourism, financial development and economic growth in India. *Future Business Journal*, *3*(1), 9-22. doi:10.1016/j.fbj.2017.01.003

Ringer, G. (2013). Tourism employment and shifts in the determination of social status in Bali: The case of the" guide". In Destinations (pp. 77-93). London: Routledge.



Scheyvens, R., & Russell, M. (2012). Tourism and poverty alleviation in Fiji: Comparing the impacts of smalland large-scale tourism enterprises. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 20, 417–436. doi:1080/09669582.2011.629049

Seghir, G. M., Mostéfa, B., Abbes, S. M., & Zakarya, G. Y. (2015). Tourism spending-economic growth causality in 49 countries: A dynamic panel data approach. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *23*, 1613-1623.

Selimi, N., Sadiku, M., & Sadiku, L. (2017). The impact of tourism on economic growth in the Western Balkan countries: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research*, *10*(2), 19-25. doi:10.25103/ijbesar.102.02

Shahzad, S. J. H., Shahbaz, M., Ferrer, R., & Kumar, R. R. (2017). Tourism-led growth hypothesis in the top ten tourist destinations: New evidence using the quantile-on-quantile approach. *Tourism Management*, *60*, 223–232. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2016.12.006

Shakouri, B., Yazdi, S. K., Nategian, N., & Shikhrezaei, N. (2017). The relation between international tourism and economic growth. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality*, 6(4), 1-11. doi:10.4172/2167-0269.1000295

Sheng, L., Li, T., & Wang, J. (2017). Tourism and externalities in an urban context: theoretical model and empirical evidence. *Cities*, *70*, 40-45. doi:10.1016/j.cities.2017.06.012

Sugiyono, P. D. (2013). Quantitative and qualitative and R & D research methods. Bandung, Indonesia: Alfabeta. United States Department of State (2021). U.S. Security Cooperation with the Baltic States. Available at:

https://www.state.gov/u-s-security-cooperation-with-the-baltic-states/

Usmani, G., Akram, V., & Praveen, B. (2021). Tourist arrivals, international tourist expenditure, and economic growth in BRIC countries. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21(2), e2202.

Watkins, M., Sayabek, Z., Imatayeva, A., Kurmangalieva, A., & Aigerim, B. (2018). Digital tourism as a key factor in the development of the economy. *Economic Annals-XXI, 169,* 40-45. doi:10.21003/ea.V169-08

World Bank (2021). Economy. Overview. Available at: https://datatopics.worldbank.org/world-development-indicators/themes/economy.html

World Health Organization. (2020). WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-sopening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19 11-march-2020

World Travel and Tourism Council (2020). Europe recovery scenarios. Europe economic impact from COVID-19.Availableat:https://wttc.org/Research/Economic-

Impact/Recovery-Scenarios/moduleId/1904/itemId/204/controller/DownloadRequest/action/QuickDownload

World Travel and Tourism Council (2020). Global economic impact and trends. Available at: https://wttc.org/research/economic-

impact/moduleid/1445/itemid/91/controller/downloadrequest/action/quickdownload

World Travel and Tourism Council (2020). To recovery & beyond. The future of Travel & Tourism in the wake of COVID-19. Available at: wttc.org/Portals/0/Documents/Reports/2020/To Recovery and Beyond-The Future of Travel Tourism in the Wake of COVID-19.pdf?ver=2021-02-25-183120-543

World Travel and Tourism Council. (2019). Economic Impact 2019. Available at: https://www.slovenia.info/uploads/dokumenti/raziskave/raziskave/world2019.pdf

World Travel and Tourism Council. (2020). Economic Impact. Available at: https://wttc.org/en-gb/Research/Economic-Impact

World Travel and Tourism Council. (2020). Travel & Tourism Economic Impact from Covid-19 Travel & Tourism Jobs 2019: Global Data. Available at: https://wttc.org/en-us/Research/Economic-Impact/moduleId/1227/itemId/68/controller/DownloadRequest/action/QuickDownload

Wu, T. P., Wu, H. C., Liu, S. B., & Hsueh, S. J. (2018). The relationship between international tourism activities and economic growth: Evidence from China's economy. *Tourism Planning & Development*, 15(4), 365-381. doi:10.1080/21568316.2017.1324809

Zurub, H., Ionescu, A., & Constantin, V. D. (2015). Measuring the economic impact of tourism in European emerging markets. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *32*(2015), 95-102. doi:10.1016/S2212-5671(15)01369-6